PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT 10/562101

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PHDE030228WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/IB2004/050957	International filing date (day/month/year) 22 June 2004 (22.06.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 27 June 2003 (27.06.2003)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N. V.				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	This report contains indication	s relating to the following	items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of applicability	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of inver	ntion		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement u applicability; citation:	under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial s and explanations supporting such statement		
•	Box No. VI	Certain documents cit	ted		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the	international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations	on the international application		
4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).					
	Date of issuance of this report 03 January 2006 (03.01.2006)				
	The International Bu		Authorized officer		
	34, chemin des Co 1211 Geneva 20, S		ldhir Britel		
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35			Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 60		

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

		' '	VILIVI COOPE	NATION THE	AII	HEOLIVE	1
rom the TTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY						17 SEP 2004	1
To: see form PCT/ISA/220					PĊ		
			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)				
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	form PCT/IS/	A/210 (second sheet)	
	nt's or agent's file rm PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
International application No. International filing date PCT/IB2004/050957 22.06.2004					Priority date (day/month/year) 27.06.2003		
Internat G01K		sification (IPC) or t	both national classification	and IPC			
Applica KONII		IPS ELECTRO	ONICS N. V.				
1. 7	his opinion co	ntains indication	ons relating to the folk	owing items:			
٥	Box No. I	Basis of the op	inlon				
Σ	Box No. II	Priority					
2	Box No. III	Non-establishn	nent of opinion with rega	urd to novelty, inventive	e step and in	dustrial applicability	
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
L	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			ntive step or industrial			
☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited							
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international app							
Þ	Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the Internation	al application			
2. F	URTHER ACTI	ON	·				
v li	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Authorized Officer

Thomte, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2610



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/050957

Box No. I Basis of the opinion
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the followin language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material:
a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material:
in written format
☐ in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing:
☐ contained in the international application as filed.
illed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as
Additional comments

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/050957

-	Вс	x No. II	Priority
1.	×	The fo	llowing document has not been furnished:
			copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
	•		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)).
			rule must be a possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.		has be	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Add		bservations, if necessary:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/050957

	ox No. III Non-establishment plicability	of op	olnion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial			
Th	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
\boxtimes	☑ claims Nos. 1-8					
be	cause:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
×	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 1,4 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	see separate sheet					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.					
	_					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	tide a equire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
	See separate sheet for further	detai	ls			
Ro	x No. VII Certain defects in t		townstand and the standard and the stand			
			ternational application			
	separate sheet	nent	s of the international application have been noted:			
Box	x No. VIII Certain observation	ns or	n the International application			
			the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the			
claims	are fully supported by the descr	iption	in oranno, description, and drawings or on the question whether the i, are made:			

Form PCT/IPEA/237 (January 2004)

see separate sheet

ad Sections VIII and III

- 1. The application does not fulfill the requirements of Article 6 because claims 1, 2 and 4 are not clear.
- (I) Hence, in claims 1 and 4 it is not clear what is intended by a "temperature setting curve". The expression does not seem to have a well defined meaning. Nor is it clear what is the significance of calibrating such a curve.
 On as plain reading of the description it would appear that what could have been intended and what could have made sense, is to determine a temperature calibration curve representing the behaviour of a semiconductor chip. However, and for the sake of completeness it should be said that no support for such a measure appears to be at hand in the application.
- (ii) It is further totally unclear how, and by what means, the signal offset is created and how the magnitude of the offset is determined in order that a calibration may be carried out. Hence, claims 1 and 4 are do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined.
- (iii) Further, the claims also generally attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of a result to be achieved. Thus, the expressions "whereby a signal offset is creatable..." and "...for calculating a first temperature based on a first signal... and a second temperature point...", merely amounts to statements of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result. Instead the description and drawings convey the impression that these functions can only be carried out in a particular way, namely by electronic circuits in line with those of either Figure 1, 2 or 3 and by the use of the formulas (1) (3) of pages 6 and 7 of the description. Since independent claims 1 and 4 do not contain these features they do not meet the requirement following from Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 6.3(b) PCT that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.
- (iv) In claim 2 it is not clear what current, voltage or frequency are intended.
- 2. Because of the above-mentioned unclarities, no meaningful examination as to novelty and inventive could be carried out at this stage.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/050957

ad Section VII

- 3. If the application is pursued then the following points should also be borne in mind:
- (a) A document reflecting the prior art described on page 1, lines 25-28, is not identified in the description (Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT).
- (b) Independent claims 1 and 4 are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document US-A-2002/0173930) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).